

1887-047 Chancery Causes: John Reason vs. Melvina Hughes oc
Lee Co.

Slump, Hagar

CA-Debt

T-Property

Virginia Ecclesiastical Court to wit:

To the honorable Judge of the said Court sitting in Chancery.

Your Orator John Reason, a Citizen of
Lee County, humbly complaining respectfully
represents unto you, that some time in the
year your Orator & W^m Warren became the
security in the executorial bond of ^{Melvin}
Melvina Hughes as executrix of the ^{estate}
of ~~Isaac~~ ^{Isaac} Hughes deceased, as
will appear by a copy of the order of Court
and the said bond here filed marked
A and B and prayed to be considered
as part of this bill. Your Orator further
states that some time ago ^{John W. Cullen for himself} Andrew Bungegardson
and ^{the} ~~others~~ the creditors of the estate of said
Isaac Hughes brought suit in this
honorable Court, against said Melvina
Hughes executrix as aforesaid, the said
Warren & your Orator which suit had
for its object to Compell said Executrix
to settle her executorial Account and
to further Compell her and the said Warren
& your Orator to pay to the Creditors of said
estate what she should be found in arrears upon
such settlement. Such Proceedings were
had in said Cause as resulted in a decree
at the day Term of this honorable Court

Your Orator has also paid to Wm H Burns, ^{attorney} for
for the said Melvina Hughes which he is also
entitled to receive from her which fee was \$20.

by which decree your Orator the said Melvina
and the said Warren were decreed and
ordered to pay to the Creditors of the estate
of said Isaac Hughes the sum of \$¹⁸
with interest thereon from the day of
and Carts ^{of suit} which as taxed by the clerk
amounted to the sum of \$¹⁸. All of which will
more fully and at large appear by the
records of the aforesaid Chancery Cause
reference being thereunto had: but being
records on file in this Honorable Court your
Orator is advised that he need not copy and
formally file them but that the Court will
look into them as evidence if disputed.
but your Orator files a copy of the aforesaid
decree marked "C" as part of this bill.
Your Orator further states that execution
issued upon said decree against the
said Melvina Hughes your Orator and
the said Warren ~~for~~ for the aforesaid sum
of \$¹⁸ with interest thereon as aforesaid
and the Carts aforesaid, your Orator and
the said Warren were Compelled to and
did pay off the said execution and the
sum was returned "Satisfied," as will
appear by a copy of said execution here
filed marked "D" as part of this bill.
Your Orator paid one half of said execution

and the said Warren paid the other half.
Your Orator is advised, that having
as security paid the debt of the said
Melvina Hughes he is entitled to recover
against her for the amount your Orator
has paid on said execution which
was not less than \$

Your Orator further states that said
Melvina has paid said Warren what
he paid for her on said execution, but
has not paid your Orator one cent
of what ~~she~~ your Orator paid for
her & apt about \$50, ^{less the cap & cost of suit} being the proceeds
of the sale of her dower interest in
a tract of land in this County: but
On the Contrary has wholly failed and
refused so to do.

Your Orator further states that said
Melvina Hughes is a non-resident
of this State but that she has a
debt due her in Lee County on which
debt accrued as follows, The said
Melvina Hughes was a daughter and
heir at law of ^{John and} Alpha Skemp deceased
and as such was ^{entitled} to have from
the administrator of the said Alpha
Skemp deceased her distributive share
in said estate.

Your Orator further states that at the
Term¹⁸ of the Lee County Court one John
W. Stump qualified as the Administrator
of the estate of said Alpha Stump and
as will appear by a Copy of the Order
of Court Appointing him such administrator
here filed, marked "E" as part of this
file. Said John W. Stump by virtue of
such qualification possessed himself
of all the goods and chattels cash in
hand and choses in action belonging
to said Alpha's estate to a large amount
to wit about \$ which was sufficient
to pay all said decedent's debts and
funeral expenses and leave a large
distribution share coming to each distrib-
utee of said estate and the ^{Rev} Melvin
being an heir and distributee of said
estate was entitled to have from said
administrator a large share which
it was his duty to have paid long since,
but this the said John W. Stump has
wholly failed to do. He has also failed
to settle his administration account before
proper Commissioners, or to pay said
Melvin anything of any consequence. He
may have paid a few small sums
but the amount is, ^{not} known to your

Orator,

The object of this suit therefore is to obtain an attachment against the estate of the said Melvina Hughes who as before stated is a non-resident and to attach the debt in the hands of said John D. Slomp. And being without and adequate remedy at the Common law and reliable only in equity where matters of this kind are done and properly cognizable. Your Orator's prayer is that said Melvina Hughes and John W. Slomp^{*} be made parties defendants to this bill that they answer the same on oath that process issue to Campbell then to answer, that the said Melvina Hughes be decreed to pay your Orator the full amount ^{that} your Orator paid for her on said execution, that the said debt due her from said Slomp be attached and that the said Slomp be enjoined and restrained from paying the same or any part thereof to said Melvina Hughes until the further order of the Court, that it may be referred to a Commissioner of this honorable Court to ascertain the amount the said Melvina Hughes owes your Orator and unless the said John D. Slomp will admit that he owes your Orator said Melvina

Highs enough to pay your Orator's debt,
that ^{it} he also referred to a Commissioner
to ascertain and report what said Slump
owes her, and that ^{such} other further and
General relief be Afforded your Orator,
as the nature of his Case may require or
to equity shall seem meet. And as in
Duty bound your Orator will ever pray
R

Barnst Feltou p.g.

On Apr. 5 11.50

" " 10.50
\$11.50

3.40 12.00

Obyatt C. 8.68

\$ 1.00

Pr. 5.00

\$26.18

Cour on 10.50

" Bail 12.00

Defts costs
recovered

\$ 6.15

A 15.00

J. P. 2.25

\$23.30

Br. H.

John Pearson

vs Bill

Melvin Hughes et al

1879 July. Bill filed. The act

Director alias amended.

" Aug. Court for a.P. & fees.

" Sept. Bill filed on the

same date & the Court for a.P.

" Oct. D. H. Court & Court for a.P.

" Nov. O. P. Court & Court for a.P.

" Dec. Decree filed Court & set

for hearing by Plff.

1880 Aug. Decree & Court.

1881 March " "

" Aug. Court.

1882, Mr. Aug & Nov. Court.

1883 Mr. and filed & Court.

" Aug. & Nov. Court.

1884 Continued this year

1885 Do Do

1886 Mr. & Aug. Court.

" Nov. Decree

1887 Mr. & Aug. Court.

" Nov. Decree final

John Ransom Compt.

vs

Me Ju Chy

Melvin Hughes et al vs

This cause came
on this day to be heard on the bill
& process ~~& liability~~, and was argued
by Counsel. And it at appearing that
Order of Publication have been
served made published and posted
against Defendant Melvin
Hughes, and that process has
been duly served on Defendant
John W. Sharp, and said Defen-
dants and each of them still failing
to appear, plead answer or answer
the bill is taken for confessed.

On consideration whereof it
is adjudged ordered and decreed
that J. W. Ald, one of this Court's
Commissioners to ascertain and report
what amount the Defendant Melvin
Hughes owes Complainant on account
of the matter set forth in the
bill, after doing which he will then
ascertain and report what amount
the Defendant John W. Sharp owes
Defendant Hughes on account of the
matter charged in the bill or otherwise

Said Commissioner will reduce all oral
testimony to writing, and return the same
as well as other testimony produced
before him, with his report. Said
Commissioner will make and file
his report at least ten days before
the next term of this Court, and
this cause is continued.

John P. Mason

W. B. Seavey

William Hughes

Entered 8/24

A. R. Stearns & Co.

Entered this case

for A. R.

Aug 27/80

Virginia

At a circuit court continued and held for the
county at the court house thereof on Friday the
17th day of August 1880.

John Cressor

Deft.

vs

John Cressor

Melina Cressor et al

Plts

x x x x x On consideration whereof it is adjudge
ed ordered and decreed that J. W. Orr, one of the county
commissioners to ascertain and report what amount
the defendant Melina Cressor owes complainant
an account of the matters set forth in the bill,
after doing which he will ascertain and report
what amount the defendant John W. Cressor owes
defendant Cressor an account of the matters charged
in the bill or otherwise. Commissioners will
reduce all oral testimony to writing and re-
turn the same, as well as other testimony pro-
duced before him with his report. Said com-
missioner will make and file his report at
least ten days before the next term of this
court, and the cause is continued.

Attest. John C. Orr, D.C.

Notice !!!

Aa County Court Clerk's office. On the ~~17th~~^{12th} day
of March 1881 I will proceed to execute the
above decree.

James W. Orr Commissioner

John Reason

75 } Copy of Deed

Merwin Hughes et als

Executed March 1881
1881 Thomas Miles D.
for J. S. Ely D.

The deposition of John Rason taken before the undersigned Commissioner in taking an account in the chancery causes pending in Lee County Circuit Court in which John Rason & also are Pliffs and John W. Slough & also are defendants. The said John Rason a witness of lawful age and being first duly sworn, deposes & says. The receipt for \$59.75 executed by myself to John W. Slough Adm^r of John Slough dec^d was for things purchased by me at the sale of the personal property of John Slough dec^d made by his executor, S. S. Slough, at the sale of the residue of the personal property of said John Slough dec^d made after the death of his widow by his Adm^r John W. Slough myself, my wife, my son-in-law, my daughter, and one of my sons made purchases and the same is shown on the sale bill returned by the Adm^r & filed as exhibit C. These purchases amount by calculation made on yesterday to \$105.85. Some time after this sale was made, I executed to John W. Slough Adm^r a receipt for \$137.00, this receipt included the above sum of \$105.85 and my recollection is that a sum of money which I understood to be \$30.00 which my wife had a few years before received from said John W. Slough was also included in said receipt. That is my recollection was that said receipt was made up of said sum of money

and said purchases at said sale, but I find
that when said two amounts are added together
that it makes a larger sum than said receipt
calls for. I have nothing by which I can strengthen
my recollection and I feel confident that
Mr Slough at the time said receipt for \$137⁰⁰ was
executed paid me no money.

The forty eight dollar receipt presented by said
John Slough. Admrs hearing date April 13/1860
with my name to it was not executed by me
and I never knew it was in existence until it
was filed by said Admrs in this settlement.
My wife had told me that she had got \$50⁰⁰
from said Slough and that I must settle with
him for it and as before stated, I thought
I had so settled in the execution of the \$137⁰⁰
receipt, and if said amount ^{was} not settled
in that receipt, I never gave any receipt for it.

I was at the sale of the personal property of John Slough
(1859) made by S. S. Slough his executor. I recollect the
sale of the black horse and my understanding at the
time was, that the wife directed that the horse
should be sold as other property and the proceeds
be applied as the proceeds of the sale of the other
property, and I never heard until since I came
home, that the proceeds of the sale of said horse
was to be invested in another horse, to the
loss of my knowledge. Some time after said
sale Alfred Slough cousin of John Slough purchased
a mare from me at the price of \$80⁰⁰ or \$85⁰⁰
at the time she purchased said mare, she told
me she was going to pay for her herself and she

did so, but I do not know where she got
the money.

I know that Dr. A. J. Collins attended on Mr. Slough frequently during her lifetime, for the
last three or four years before her death, and
she frequently told me so and she told me that
she had kept Dr. Collins paid up for his sick
services. She also told me that she had
a contract with him by which he
was to charge \$1.00 per visit.

I have no recollection of going to John W. Slough's
house to have a receipt of \$1.00 made in the
\$137.00 receipt - nor have I any recollection of the
\$51.00 receipt, but upon examination, I find my
name signed to it, and the signature looks like
my hand writing.

Question by Pettys Council -

Did you at any time before the
institution of these suits or either one
of them apply to John W. Slough for a
settlement of his administration
account, if so state why you applied -
Barwick about it.

I at one time asked Mr. Slough if he had made a
settlement of his administration account in the
estate of John Slough, Sr. and he gave me no
answer. My reason for asking the question
was, that I knew the security for William Hughes
and was being pressed in her account, and
she had told me that Mr. Slough had promised
her word that he would pay it to her for it, and
if he did not pay it to her, suit against him
for the same. At one time after the first mentioned
suit was brought and before the last one was insti-
tuted, Mr. Slough asked me why I did not mention
the matter to him or come to him about it, I replied
that I had gone where I thought I ought to go, that

I had mentioned it to him at one time and
he gave me no satisfaction about the matter
and that I had examined the Clerk's office
for his settlement with a commissioner, but
found none. My motive for examining for his
settlement with a commissioner, was to see whether
or not there was anything ^{as shown} from him, to
William Hughes.

And further this deponent will not.

John Reaser

I certify that the foregoing deposition of John Reaser
was this day taken, subscribed & sworn to
before me at the time and place and for the
purposes in the caption mentioned. Given under
my hand this 18th day of August 1882.

James W. Orr, Comm.

John Reaser et al.

vs. J. W. Hughes et al.

John W. Hughes et al.

Virginia, & coming to wit

The deposition of John H. Stamp taken before the undersigned Commissioner at his office in Louisville, Tennessee the 14th day of August 1882, in taking an account in the Chancery Court pending in the County of Davidson, in which John H. Stamp is Plaintiff and Melvin Hughes is Defendant. The said John H. Stamp states and testifies that he is a white male of lawful age and being first duly sworn deposes & says.

In regard to Voucher number 1 filed with my report filed in said case for the year 1881, and accepted by the said John H. Stamp, I find to said James Hughes the sum of thirty dollars mentioned in said receipt as the husband of Melvin Hughes and no distributive of said estate. The said James Hughes presented to S. H. Stamp agent of John H. Stamp deceased a note for property purchased by him at the sale of John H. Stamp's personal estate and I understood to have him ^{said} note and took his receipt for the same ^{aforsaid} which is the receipt above referred to.

The Plaintiff doth to witness John H. Stamp stating anything whatever relative to the receipt of James Hughes and filed as voucher of with James H. Orr's report & statement because the said James Hughes is dead and the contract was between him and the witness John H. Stamp & Duncan & Co. of Memphis.

1 Question by Pitts.

Did you have any other debt against Isaac Hughes due you as shown by John Stump's sale and except the amount which he purchased (at the sale of John Stump's property).

Answer. I did not.

2 Question by same. Does the \$30.00 receipt marked number 9, cover any other amount than the amount purchased by Hays at the sale above named to.

Answer. Not that I have any recollection of.

3 Question by same. You said receipt is for thirty dollars and Isaac Hughes purchased at John Stump's sale as shown by the sale bill only amounts to \$18.75. Can you explain how the balance between \$18.75 and \$30.00 was paid or what made up said amount of \$30.00?

Answer. (I cannot explain how the balance between \$18.75 and \$30.00 was paid. My recollection is that the note turned over to me by the heirs of S. D. Blanchard was valued at \$30.00, and for that sum I took said Hughes receipt when I came over to him his said note.

(V 12-13)

In regard to vouchers Nos 12 & 13 received by S. D. Blanch to me as shown by the Stump's sale for \$18.75. On 18th 1860 cash \$18.75. On 27th 1860 they were

receipts filed as vouchers 12. & 13 is
inadmissible and therefore rejected.

Decree for Petffs

- 4th Question by Petffs. Was it not the understanding
between Alpha Semp. Widow of John Semp.
deceased and his Executors and heirs on the
day of the sale of John Semp's property that
the property then sold including the horse
sold to H. Datan was to be sold and the
proceeds thereof to be distributed among
his heirs free from any claim to or by said
Alpha his widow?

Answer. I don't remember and don't think I asked
the executor to sell the horse and take the
proceeds as I buy him a more gentle one.
The executor died before the sale money be-
came due, and when I was appointed
I tried to carry out the wish of my
mother in regard to the matter, and horse
was sold two days later to her.

- 5th Question by same. How can you explain
how it is that the note for the amount the black
horse brought was presented to the Executors and
not to the said Alpha herself.

Answer. The horse was appraised with the other property
and she requested that he should be sold as
before stated and another one bought with the
proceeds, and I was given no further information.

6 Question by same. Did the Administrator or Executor purchase a horse for her in lieu of the horse sold, or did she purchase a horse for herself & agree to pay for it herself? or how was the matter transacted?

Answer
She got a horse but I do not know who bought it. I paid her the said sum toward buying for it.
(General Debt)
In regard to settlement with John Duff to her two reports. The debt against Duff & her were created by said Creech who owned some for H. J. Watson for Robert purchased by him at the sale of John Slump's property, amounting to about \$110.00, and said Watson made with said Creech an agreement, was placed by said in the hands of P. H. Hagen for collection, and said Hagen collected and paid to me said debt, and said debt is assigned to me in the sale bill, and should not be charged again. Mr Hagen collected ^{which to go} about \$50.00 of this money a short time before the case, and I had paid to Altha Ward the 1/2 of the above mentioned before I collected this ~~total~~ amount from Mr Hagen or soon after, and to the balance of the debt, Mr Hagen collected it after the case, and retained for his services the sum of \$10.00 and also the legal fee, which is under \$43.

V. 17+18) In regard to the above pgs 17+18 which go to say

Pliff. A short time before Mr. H. Slough left this
country, he paid to Sarah Rich & Malvina Hughes
each one hundred of a note he issued to the order
of John Slough. but took no receipt for the
same, and on the 17th day of March 1860, I
issued the said Sarah Rich & Malvina Hughes
to execute a receipt for their parts of said note
which is number nos 17 & 18, and at the same
time I paid to said distributives each the sum
of \$30.00 for which, through mistake, I took
no receipt. The said \$30.00 was part of the mon-
ey paid me by Mr. Higon. The note of said Mr. H.
Slough above referred to, and an account of which
he made said payments to said Sarah Rich &
Malvina Hughes is the same note for \$100.12
changed to one for \$100.00, and dated 1860.
The said paper, vouchers nos 17 & 18, was written
by John Rich, a young man and son of said
Sarah Rich, and I told him at the time it was
not right, and the said Sarah Rich & Malvina
Hughes remarked that they would make it all
right and that I should not be hurt by it,
and I thereupon accepted it in the present shape.

8 Question Pliff. You stated that the
paper numbered as vouchers nos 17 & 18 were
executed in your presence by Sarah
Rich & Malvina Hughes. can you
tell who signed each of their names

to it?

Answer I do not recollect whether I
saw it but it may have been young
John Ritchie.

Question. Where was said paper seen
then?

Answer. It was executed at John Ritchie's
house in Newcastle County, Tenn., and
the said Malinda Rogers lived at that
time near to the said Ritchie. The said
Dorah & Malinda were both present at
the time of the execution of the paper.
16th Question by same. Did you receive
said receipt after it was executed?
A. or how did it happen that you
took it with no statement in it in
regard to the \$50 which you claim
you then made them paid to work
for you?

Answer. I do not recollect whether I
read it or not, but young Ritchie
read it to me, and I then informed
them that it was not right, and the
said Dorah & Malinda told me, & then
stated that I should leave nothing.

(28) In regard to the paper presented by
you, which is the receipt of Dr. J. J. Collins for
the dollars, and which is executed to by Pluff

John Ransom; and I can not state definitely
in regard to the particular services charged for
in said account, I do remember that said
Callier did, ^{frequently} attend upon, and render medical
services to, said Alpha Slough after the death
of her husband and during her lifetime, and
I can think to said Callier said some of her
husband's claims credit therefor.

(177)

In regard to Voucher No 7 which purports to be
a receipt of John Ransom for \$48.00 dated April
18th 1860. I wanted to see as Administrator of the Estate
of John Slough and the facts in relation thereto
are, as follows; about the time that receipt
bears date Alpha Slough my mother and
Susan Ransom wife of John Ransom, came to my
house and wanted some money the said
Alpha Slough wanting money on account of her
husband's debt at the sale and the said Susan
Ransom wanting money on her distribution
share of said estate. I thereupon paid to Mother
fifty two dollars and I paid to Susan Ransom
the sum of forty eight dollars. But Ransom
could not write her own name and I must
the entire receipt and by her direction I
signed the name of John Ransom her husband
there to and she at the same time said "my
husband will have to do with and
account to you for the same". As to the receipt

(V. 25)

of John Reason to 26, to me as such Agent dated Nov 20th 1866 and for \$137.00, my recollection in regard thereto is that I as such Agent at the date of said receipt held evidence as of debt against said Reason amounting to that sum less two dollars, and that when that receipt was presented to me by John Reason, I surrendered to him such evidence of debt, and this is the consideration for which said receipt was executed. And even after it was executed, said Reason claimed that there was a mistake in said receipt of \$3.00 and I on examination of our settlement found that such a mistake had been made but that receipt was not corrected but at a subsequent time, to wit, Feb 10th 1867 I paid to said John Reason the further sum of \$3.00 and took his receipt only for the sum of \$1.00, thereby preventing said error in the first mentioned receipt. The said John Reason now admits that the said receipt for \$137.00 is wholly in his hand writing and signed by him.

(V. 38)

Case examined by Plaintiff.
 Questions by Plaintiff. Can you state how long Mrs. Alpha Sump lived you the medical services rendered by Dr. Cassin as charged in his account. This day requested by you as an answer.

Answer. I saw by inspecting said account that said ~~Dr~~ Dr. Collier furnished to now been rendered in April 1861, and according to my best recollection Alpha Stump died in April 1866.

Question by same. Is it not a fact that Alpha Stump was a woman who was prompt to pay all debts contracted by her.

Answer. I never heard any complaint to the contrary.

Question by same. Is it not a fact that Dr Collier frequently rendered medical services to Mrs Alpha Stump after April 1861.

Answer. I think he did.

Question by same. How did he ever present any account to you against her for such services or for any other services except those charged in the account dated April 1861.

Answer. He did not.

Question by same. You state that at the time John Benson rendered the receipt for \$137.00 that you held evidence of debt against him amounting to that sum less two dollars. Now will you please state what those evidences of debt were and.

now you come to hold them

Answer. My recollection is that it was the amount of
his purchases at the sale and I may have
paid him some money but if I did, I do not
now remember it.

Question by same. If you had paid
him any money at that time do you
think you could recollect it.

Answer. I do not know it has been a long time ago.

Question by same. Has it not been
your distinct recollection all the time
that said receipt was executed for
the amount of purchases made by
said Person and his family at
the Sale of your Mother's property?
and did you ever think anything
else until you ascertained by cal-
culation on yesterday. That said
purchases only amounted to \$100.35-
while the receipt is for \$137.00?

Answer. That was my impression, that said receipt
was for the amount of said purchases, and
I never thought anything else until said
calculation was made and I cannot account
for the discrepancy, unless I paid him
money of which I now have no recollection.

Question by same. Did John Ransom
make any claim to you that there was any

error in the \$37.00 receipt?

Answer My family told me he had claimed to them that there was an error of two dollars in said receipt and afterwards I examined the papers and found that there was an error of that sum and I afterwards corrected it by paying ^{him} \$33.00 and taking his receipt for \$31.00

Question by same. Do you recollect what papers you examined by which you found said error if so please state what papers it was.

Answer It was papers I had against him of mother's estate and as before stated I may have paid him some money but if I did so I do not recollect it at this time.

Question by same. How long after you were appointed Administrator of John Slump's estate until you applied to S.B. Slump's Administrator for the papers pertaining to John Slump's estate or did you apply to him for them at all?

Answer - I did apply to said S.B. Slump's Administrator for all the papers pertaining to John Slump's estate and I made this application very soon after I was ap-

Jointed Administrator of John Stamp's estate.
 Question by exam. Have you charged
 yourself with and accounted for in this
 settlement all the papers, vouchers &c.
 debt &c. turned over to you by said J. S.
 Stamp's Administrator.

Ans. - I have, with the exception of a
 small debt on Jacob Pratt, which I accounted
 for and it was returned, no properly found,
 and has long since been returned to the Clerk's
 office as an insolvent debt.

At the sale of Alpha Stamp's property James H.
 Butler bought to the amount of \$6.84 accord-
 ing to the sale bill, for that sum I accounted him
 and he claimed offset, and the Justice before
 whom the claim was tried, allowed his offset
 against the account for which I accounted
 him except as to the sum of \$1.76, for which I
 obtained judgment, and collected only that sum
 from said Butler, and for the amount of the
 offset \$5.07 I claim a credit.

James O. Reason, now dead, acted as auctioneer
 at the sale of said mother's property, and charged
 therefor the sum of \$1.00, and he bought property
 at the said sale to the amount of \$1.00, and I
 took his receipt for said sum, but have lost
 or mislaid said receipt, so it cannot now be
 found, and I claim a credit therefor.

(V. 26)

On the 5th day of November 1866 I paid to Pauline Hughes as one of the distributees of said estate the sum of \$55.25 - as shown by the paper filed as Voucher No 26 with Com. Cor's former report, this money was paid to her and the receipt executed at the time, but I do not remember who wrote the receipt or who signed her name thereto, and neither the receipt nor the signature thereto is in my handwriting.

At the sale of my mother's property Mrs. Hughes and her son-in-law John Herley bought property which amounted to something about \$60.00 and on the 20th day of Nov 1866 I paid Mrs. Hughes as much as I can remember about \$15.00 cash and the said purchase together with the cash thus paid amounted in the aggregate to \$75.24 and a receipt for that sum was therefore executed to me for that sum, and this receipt is Voucher No 38 with Com. Cor's first report. The body of this receipt is in my handwriting, but the signature thereto is not and I do not remember who signed said receipts, but the said Pauline was present at the time of the execution of each of said receipts and recognized them to be correct and to be her act and deed.

(No 38)

Question by Pliffs

Is it not a fact that the eighty five

dollars and twenty five cents are placed
in the receipt marked voucher 26, and
money you had before that time paid
to Melvina Hughes towards her interest
in the negroes of your father's estate.
I let her have the money at
the date of receipt they had promised
to let me have the negroes if they
did not pay the money back and
they never did pay it back.

Question by same. Are you not mis-
taken about the date at which you
let Melvina Hughes have this money
now, had you not let her have the
money, before, the negroes were
freed and that afterwards when you
found negroes were freed that you
took this receipt to cover the amount
that you had let them have towards
their negroes.

Answer. She had promised to let
me have her interest in the negroes
and I had let her have that money
towards paying her for the same
and it may be that she had got the
money before the date of the receipt.
I think I let Mrs. Hughes have this money
in the lifetime of her husband.

Hughes and my recollection now is that Isaac
Hughes died about or just before the war closed
Question by same - Did you have a
Contract with Mr. & Mrs. Hughes for the
purchase of Three negroes?

Answer No further than this, I let them
have this money, and they promised
me the refusal of Three negroes ~~and~~
~~if they sold them at all,~~
~~was to let me have them if they did~~
~~not pay the money made to me.~~

At the sale of Alpha Slomp's property, I recall
Alpha bought some small articles which were
charged to him in the sale bill amounting to
\$2.75, his wife bought property amounting to \$2.50,
his son S. L. Slomp bought property amounting
to \$15.85 and his son John Slomp bought property
amounting to \$88.02, all of which appears upon
the sale bill. My understanding at the time was
that the property bought by said John Slomp was
bought for himself & Alpha Slomp and I knew
the fact that the latter kept a cupboard bought
by the former which cost \$17.00 and a chair
which cost \$26.00 and when the said John Slomp
came to execute his note for his said purchases
he gave his father as security, and the said John
told me he made his said purchases for himself
and his said father. But the note executed by John
included the purchases made by the said Alpha

and also his wife. My recollection now is that L. S. Slump gave his note with his father as security, but I cannot state positively the fact as to who became his security and these notes as executed. I have lost or mislaid so I cannot now find them.

These several purchases amount in the aggregate to \$111.72, and this I have always regarded as a payment to said Hally in his distributive share of said estate, and now claim credit for the same as of the date when said notes became due. Voucher A 5 with same over first report is said Hally's receipt for \$80.70 to me as such Adm'r., and was given for the amount of property purchased by him at the sale of John Slump's property made by L. S. Slump his Executor amounting to \$80.70, and \$50.00 in cash which I paid to him on the day said receipt bears date.

Question by Pltff. Is it not a fact that all the parties above mentioned to wit Hally, Slump, John Slump, and S. S. Slump are all good for the amounts purchased by them at said sale Adm'r. I think they are -

And further this defendant with out.

John H. Slump

John Benson et al

1833
3
Capt of Dept. Clerk

John W. Benson et al.

John Reason Compt
vs
Melvin Hughes et al & Co

John Reason the Complainant in the above
styled suit states that he believes the claim
for which he has instituted the Aforesaid
suit against Melvin Hughes is just, that
he believes he ought to receive from said Melvin
Hughes at least the sum of \$200. That the
said Melvin Hughes is a non-resident of this
State and that he the said John Reason verily
believes the said Melvin Hughes has certain
debts due him in Lee County Va

Wm. 9th 1879

John Reason

Seen and subscribed by John Reason the
10th day of June 1879

L. H. Orr Jr. S.C.

Heaven

no afflictions

Heavenly

John Pearson Compt

vs

John H. Chy.

Melania Hughes et al

John Pearson states he believes the
claim for which he has instituted the above
syled suit is just, that he ought to recover ^{from}
and over ~~the~~ to the amount of \$ at the least
in the said suit, against the said Melania Hughes

J. W. Carr Esq. D.C.

Virginia Wise County to wit:

This day Patrick Hagan personally appeared before me and made oath that within the last few years he prosecuted a suit in the said Circuit Court in favor of John W. Skeph admr. of John Skeph deceased against Sebas & Ira Bauch as he now remembers for about \$ when paid. He understood from said Skeph and others that said debt had been paid to said Skeph administrator.

Patrick Hagan

Reason
& affidavit
of Capt. Hagon
Hughesdale

Virginia, Loc Circuit Court,
John Reesor.

Plaintiff

In Chancery.

Melina Hughes et al Defendant

The undersigned Commissioner in this cause who was by a decree therein, directed to ascertain and report what amount the defendant Melina Hughes owes the plaintiff, and to report what amount the defendant John W Slomp owes said Melina Hughes. Respectfully reports. I have on this 9th day of March 1881, proceeded to ascertain what amount debt Slomp owes defendant Melina Hughes. Your Honor will perceive that in plaintiff's bill it is alleged that defendant John W Slomp was appointed Administrator of Alpha Slomp deceased, and I presume the expected liability existing against him in favor of Melina Hughes was supposed to have arisen out of his administration of the Estate of said Alpha Slomp deceased, who was the widow of John Slomp dead, but on examination of the records I can not find that said Slomp ever qualified as such administrator, but I do find that said Slomp qualified as Administrator of the estate of his father the said John W Slomp dead, and as your Commissioner is simply directed in the decree to ascertain and report what amount the defendant John W Slomp owes the defendant Melina Hughes without any reference to any particular transaction giving rise to said indebtedness, Your Commissioner

ssioner proceeded to state and settle the administration
account of said John W Slomp. Admr of John Slomp
decd, a statement of which is herewith filed ~~filed~~
marked "D", and by reference thereto it will be seen
that the Admr. has overpaid his liability to said Est.
Sept 9th 1870 \$1.06, Your commissioner has prepared
and files herewith marked "A", a statement showing
the Admr's standing with each of the heirs of said
John W Slomp decd, by which it will be seen that the
Admr owes Hilley Slomp \$70.52; that he has overpaid
John Reaser an account of his wife's interest, and
as assignee of ^{part of} J. W. Slomp's interest \$44.69; that he
has overpaid Melvina Hughes \$60.33; that he yet owes
Sarah Ritchie \$12.16; that he owes or should retain for
himself as distributee \$63.18; that he has overpaid
S. S. Slomp in his lifetime and to his heirs since his
death \$41.70. There was for distribution among seven
heirs the sum of \$1058.54 which gave to each the sum
of \$151.22. It appears from the records of Lee County
court that S. S. Slomp on the 16th day of August 1858,
was appointed, and qualified, as Executor of the will
of John Slomp deceased, and on the 16th day of August
1858 caused the personal property of his Testator to be
appraised, and on the 7th day of September 1858 sold
said property, and as your commissioner is informed
by the Admr. the said S. S. Slomp soon after said
sale and before the sale money fell due departed
this life, and at the June term 1859, of said County

court the said John W Slomp qualified as administrator de bonis non of said John Slomp deceased.

The said John Slomp in his will bequeathed his personal property to his wife Alpha during her life, and at her death to be sold and distributed among his heirs, and the sale of property made by the said S. S. Slomp was by her consent as I am informed by the said John W Slomp and after the death of Alpha Slomp & on the 5th day of May 1856 the said John W Slomp as Administrator of John Slomp died exposed to sale the personal property left by the said Alpha and as will be seen your Honor has treated the entire matter, so far as John W Slomp is connected with it, as the administration of said John W Slomp's Estate, changing said Administrator, or he changing himself, with the sale bill made by said S. S. Slomp, an Inventory of notes that came to his hands herewith filed marked "B" and the Sale bill made by himself after the death of Alpha Slomp, which the Administrator states is all the estate that came into his hands, Copies of said Sale bills are herewith filed marked "A" & "C" respectively, I have given the Administrator credit for 8 percent commission and for disbursements made by him &c, resulting as before stated.

Just at this stage of the proceedings, the Plaintiff appeared before your Commissioner, the defendant John W Slomp having left, and alleged that there were other debts due the estate of John Slomp died at the time of his death, which are shown in the appraisement bill.

of his property, and which the said John W. Slough & Amr.
has not charged himself with, which ought to be
either charged to S. S. Slough Executor or to John W. Slough
Amr. of said Estate, and asked your Court, to leave the
matter in ^{such} shape that he can be heard hereafter.

Your commissioner therefore submits what he has done
for what it is worth, not having ^{even} ascertained what
amount defendant Melvin Hughes owes the plaintiff.

Respectfully submitted.

James W. Orr, Comr.

John Reaser
vs J. W. Orr (Or's Report)
Melvin Hughes, del.
Filed Mar 9th 1881.
James W. Orr, clk.

Comr's fee \$10.50

A Sale Bill of John Hunt's property deceased
 September 27 1808.

Thomas Bates	To black horse	40.00
Lease Hughes	cow & calf	8.50
Thomas Bates	Do	8.50
Thomas & Co	Do	7.00
Same	cow	3.50
John Fearon	2 Hogs	32.75
Smith & Slump	6 Do	18.00
Same	Stock Trap	2.00
Silas Coe	2 Hogs & 3 pigs	6.00
James C. Slump	1 head of Sheep	2.50
James C. Bates	1 set of Scales	28.00
James H. Newman	Do	8.00
John W. Barrow	1 hat case	4.00
John Horns	1 life case	5.00
David Clinger Dr	1 set Iron tools	1.00
Reuben S. Slump	1 grey horse	50.00
Virginia	Total	278.01

It is a court of quarter session con-
 vened and held for Lee County at
 the court house thereof on Tuesday
 the 2^d day of March 1860.

A sale bill of the property of John Hunt
 deceased was this day returned to
 court and ordered to be recorded.

Testes J. J. Ferguson & C.

A copy. Testes John C. Dr. & C.

John Slough Secd.
copy of
Sale Bill.

A

Collected for B. O. L.

Inventory of Estate that came to the hands of
John W. Slough Admin of John Slough deceased.

Note on J. W. Slough due Jan'y 27 th 1847 for	\$100.72
Interest thereon to Sept 9 th 1857.	64.30
Note on S. S. Slough due Feb 25 th 1856 for	64.71
Interest thereon to Sept 4 th 1857.	13.75
Note on James M. Blackston due ----- for	1.50
Add cash on hand at death of widow	78.05
of J. W. Slough	167.13
	1.25

John W. Henshaw Admr
of John Henshaw decd.
Inventory

B.

Sale Bill of Sepha Slump deceased, sold on
 the 5th day of May 1866 and due six months after
 date of sale to wit:

1 Bread Tray	to Alex Ritchie	.25
1 Iron sciss	" J.W. Slump	.16
1 pr. warping bars	" Margaret Slump	.10
1 Kitchen Table	" Anderson & Co	.25
1 Meat sack	" Isaac Rice	.70
1 bunch of tow thread	" Alex Ritchie	.63
1 Lamb Broom	" M. G. Parkey	.05
1 Bridle	" Isaac	.10
1 Iron Wodge	" Thomas Lugo	.51
1 Scoft of soap	" A. J. Estep	.15
1 " " "	" Alex Ritchie	3.00
1 " " "	" A. J. Estep	.25
1 Tub of Salt	" John Slump	3.00
1 Tub of kinger	" M. G. Parkey	.65
1 Tub of corn	" John Miller	2.35
1 La kettle & koffer pot	" John Laro	.60
1 Candle stick	" Susan Reas	.25
1 Glass Hammer	" Dr. Solan	.25
1 Smoothing Iron	" C. Slump	.40
1 Small Cook	" John B. Kelley	.15
1 Cat of Slag & Gears	" M. G. Parkey	.05
1 " " " " "	" A. J. Estep	.50
1 Slag	" Melissa Hughes	.25
1 Cat Slag & Gears	" Anderson & Co	.25
1 John can - @ 70 cts per bush	" Susan Miller	2.50

Account Brang to forward.

684
509

10 bu corn @ 77c clo pr bu. To	Helena Hughes	7 70
1 lat 1/2 50" Bacon @ 12c	" F. J. Estes	8 75
1 " " 77 1/2 " " 15 1/2 c	" Alex. Willis	11 62 1/2
1 " " 40 1/2 " " 17 1/2	" Isaac N. Lealier	6 84
1 " " 50c re	" F. J. Estes	3 10
1 " " 53 1/2 Bacon @ 19 1/2	" Sarah McQuinn	10 62
1 " " 25 " " 10 c	" Helena Hughes	2 50
1 " " 33 1/2 " " 19 1/2 c	" F. J. Hart	6 36 1/2
1 Hans saddle	" L. N. Slump	1 10
1 Rat whet @ 19 1/2 pr bu.	" H. S. Parker	
1 can of Sugar	" L. N. Slump	1 37
1 " " Do	" John Riddle	1 62
2 " " Do	" F. J. Thompson	1 67
1 Lin Box	" L. N. Slump	2 5
1 pr Studyard	" Marion Wells	1 05
6 chairs	" L. N. Slump	3 20
1 tub of Lard	" Marion Wells	2 20
1 Chest	" John Riddle	1 10
3 Hand Saws	" Marion Wells	4 0
1 candle stand cover	" L. N. Slump	1 10
3 Hand Saws	" L. N. Slump	3 10
5 Table cloths	" Helena Hughes	7 0
5 Table cloths	" John Miller	7 0
3 Sheets	" L. N. Slump	7 0
2 Do	" Helena Hughes	2 0
1. Pillow Slit	" John Slump	1 0
Account brought forward		

Account Brought Forward

3 Pillow Slips	to Margaret Slump	90
Hand towels	Susan Rector	20
2 Table cloths	Susan Williams	3.00
2 do do.	Susan Rector	3.00
2 do do.	McDonoughs	1.00
1 do do.	John Slump	1.00
1 Counterpane	McDonoughs	3.00
1 do do.	Susan Rector	1.00
1 Spread	Rebecca Stout	1.20
1 do do.	Same	1.00
1 Long chain	Geo. Kelly	2.75
1 Hatbox	W. C. Slump	.75
1 Shawl	Waldman	1.00
1 do	McDonoughs	72
1 set of Shawl	J. W. Slump	1.00
1 do	Wm. Ritchie	.75
1 do	John Slump	1.00
1 Hat + Shawl	McDonoughs	.75
1 Shawl + Hat	Rebecca Stout	.50
1 do + do	Susan Rector	.50
1 Shawl	Wm. Ritchie	.50
1 Hat	J. W. Slump	.25
1 Shawl + Hat	Susan Rector	.50
1 Long Hat	W. C. Slump	2.00
1 Small Hat	John Slump	3.20
Shawl + Shawl + Hat + Hat	John Slump	1.00
Account Brought Forward		

Account Brought forward			
1 Huffer	to H. Slump		8.25
1 Cow & calf	" Lattie Ritchie		38.00
1 Cow	" John Slump		16.00
1 Calf	" Henderson Reaser		5.25
5 Hogs	" John Slump		26.00
1 Cow & 7 Pigs	" John Reaser		18.00
5 Choice Sheep	" Sebastian Slump		18.25
5 Best Choice	" Rebecca Stant		10.75
1 Prime Stom	" Marion Wells		75
1 Cow & calf	" C. Slump		20.00
1 side Cow & pig	" John		8.00
1 Dr. dog in vms	" C. Slump		1.00
1 hat Rack	" Rebecca Stant		1.00
1 Dr. four dogs	" Alex Ritchie		2.00
1 Shovel & Paker	" Rebecca Stant		.75
1 half bushel	" L. O. Ritchie		.60
1 Long wheel	" C. Slump		1.00
2 Jars	" Dr. Stant		.20
2 Crocks	" Melvina Hughes		1.10
2 "	" C. Slump		1.00
3 "	" Mrs. East		.30
1 Dr. Caddy packet	" John W. Morris		2.15
1 Jar & Yarn	" James H. Reaser		.60
1 Basket & cat of soap in vms	" Sebastian Slump		1.00
1 Ladies Sewer & cover	" Susan Reaser		6.00
1 Quarter Dish	" Grand Reaser		.80
Account carried forward			120.85

Amount carried forward		420.88
Wash Pan	W. J. M. Stamp	.20
Large Pan	John Barber	.50
Wash Brush	C. W. Stamp	.20
Flax Shell	Cal. M. H. H. H.	3.00
Whisk	Susan Barker	.80
Small Wheel	Thomas Legg	3.20
Wash Stand	Susan Barker	.25
Piece of Sack Leather	Salomon Wells	1.40
Shut skin mended	W. J. M. Stamp	.31
Piece of Sack Leather	Marina Hughes	5.30
Fin Basket + lid	C. W. Stamp	.60
Dr. Hays + Child's bottle	John Stamp	4.60
Cat Trap	Marina Wells	.35
Half tin of oil	John Stamp	.60
Sawtooth + cutting knife	John Barber	.30
Loom	Isaac H. H.	3.45
Coffee Mill	John Stamp	.30
Wheel	Marina Wells	1.32
Wash Sifter	W. J. M. Stamp	.60
Brain Bice	Thomas Legg	.20
Drug Tobacco	Isaac H. H.	.30
Bed quilt	C. W. Stamp	1.00
Bed Blanket	Susan Barker	2.20
Quilt	Susan Stamp	1.30
Cover Lid	Marina Hughes	1.00
2 Tawny Balls	W. J. M. Stamp	.20
Cat Medicine	W. J. M. Stamp	.35
Amount carried forward		

Amount Brought Forward \$

1 Bed	Yo. Salla Ritchie	38.00
1 Bed	" Melvina Hughes	21.00
1 Bed	" Susan Ransom	22.00
1 Rat Thread	" Susan Barker	1.00
" " " "	" " "	1.38
" " " "	" Geo. Keller	2.30
" " " "	" J. W. Slump	1.00
1 Bridle	" Sebastian Slump	.75
1 Bar + Brush	" Isaac Rice	.10
1 pr scissors	" Mrs. Hart	.40
1 cupboard	" John Slump	7.00
1 Clock	" Isaac V. Miller	2.00
1 Fly Brush	" Alpha Ransom	.30
1 Bureau	" J. W. Slump	39.00
1 mirror	" Jo. Slump	.00
1 Looking glass	" Hussey Slump	1.00
1 writing table	" J. W. Slump	5.00
2 Chamber pots & sp. + hammer	" Sebastian Slump	.50
1 candle snuffer & ring	" Joe Rice	.30
1 table + all cloth	" J. W. D. Riesel	2.00
1 carpet	" Susan Ransom	3.00
1 Rocking chair	" Isaac	1.00
1 chair	" Sebastian Slump	1.50
1 Picket	" Isaac Slump	.90
1 Bowl	" Mrs. Wiley	.00
1 Indian Pitcher	" J. W. D. Ransom	.45
1 Sewing machine	" J. W. D. Ransom	1.00

Inventory Brought forward			
1 Ceramic Plates	20	W. H. Slump	2.00
1 glass tumbler	"	Same	.50
1 Dish of spoons & cutlery	"	Helena Hughes	.50
1 Dish with spoons &c	"	Lillie Ritchie	.20
3 Dishes	"	Susan Mason	.70
1 Glass tumbler	"	Rebecca Stout	.50
1 Set Plates	"	George Lilly	.25
4 plates	"	Mrs. Gies	.40
1 Sugar bowl & dish	"	John F. Nelson	.30
3 Tea cups & saucers	"	Susan Mason	.30
3 plates	"	Susan Barker	.20
1 Bowl	"	Same	.20
1 Sugar bowl	"	Same	.20
1 Set Tea cups & saucers	"	John Barker	.45
1 Bible	"	Mrs. Gies	7.30
1 Tea cups, plates & butter dish	"	Same	.50
Bunch of cotton	"	John Slump	4.00
2 " " " " " "	"	Anderson Chas	1.15
1 Set silver & fork	"	Lillie Ritchie	1.20
1 Spoon & Strap	"	Susan Mason	.20
" " " " " "	"	Ray Ritchie	.20
1 Bowl & Spoon	"	W. H. Slump	.20
1 Sheet	"	John F. Nelson	.30
1 Sheet & Bowl & Spoon	"	Helena Hughes	2.00
2 Blankets	"	W. H. Slump	5.00
1 Bible	"	Helena Hughes	.50
Inventory Brought forward			

Amount Carried Forward		8	
2 Bunch	To Messrs Smith		30
3 Bunch	Do Do		30
2 Bunch	Do Do		20
1 Choice Buck	Do Do		30
1 Choice Buck	Do Do		30
Total amount of Sale			140
Paid to Messrs Smith			140
Total amount of Sale			140
Paid to Messrs Smith			140

See County Court clerk's office the 7th day of June 1866. The foregoing sale of the horses and stock of John Smith was made and being duly stamped was then duly admitted to record.

Wm. H. Smith, Clerk.

Attest

Test of the Court

Attest
Sexton Bill
Clerk

Attest the Clerk

Statement showing the standing of John W. Slough Adm'r
of John Slough decd. with the heirs.

Total fund for distribution	\$1058.54
Divided among seven heirs gives to each	\$151.22

Hual Slough has been paid \$870. yet due him	70.52
G. W. Slough has been paid \$91.38 yet due him	
and assigned to John Reason \$59.84	
John Reason has been paid \$255.75 Overpaid him	44.69
Melvin Hughes has been paid \$211.55 " " her	60.33
Sarah Ritchie " " " \$139.06 yet due her	12.16
John W. Slough " retained \$88.04 " " him	63.18
S. S. Slough's heirs have been paid \$193.12 Overpaid them	41.70
Amos overpaid his account	1.06
	\$146.72
	\$146.72

John W Slough. Admr
of John Slough decd.
Statement showing Standing
with the heirs.

N

John W Slensf Admr of John Slensf deceased.		In account with said Estate		Dr.
1859.	Sept 9 th	Lo amt of Sale Bill due Sept 7 th 1859. "A"		475.01
	" "	" " " Principal of Inventory marked "B".		167.13
	" "	" " " Interest in said Inventory \$78.05-		
		Total Pr assets Sept 9 th 1859.		\$642.14
	1.	By this sum paid H. J. Morgan clerk's fee	\$ 2.60	
	2.	" " " " Same " "	3.75	
	3.	" " " " Jonathan Richmond, witness,	1.50	
	4.	" " " " U. G. Hyatt for coffin	7.00	
		" 8 per cent com on \$14.85 disbursed	1.18	
		" this sum unaccounted for this year to square	626.11	642.14
1860.	Sept 7 th	Lo this sum unaccounted for last year.		626.11
	" "	" " " Interest thereon one year \$37.56		
	5.	By " " paid Hualsey Slensf, Distributee,	80.70	
	6.	" " " " G. W. Slensf, "	71.38	
	7.	" " " " John Reason, "	48.00	
	8.	" " " " Clerk of Wise County,	4.07	
	9.	" " " " Isaac Hughes, Distributee,	30.00	
	10.	" " " " G. W. Vance for Lumb Stones,	15.00	
	11.	" " " " Clerk of Wise County Court	.68	
	12.	" " " " Alpha Slensf Apr 27 th 1860.	18.00	
	13.	" " " " Alpha Slensf, " 15 th " "	57.00	
	14.	" " " " John Reason Distributee	37.75	
	15.	" " " " Wm A G Barron June 5 th 1860.	3.10	
	16.	" " " " John Ritchie, Distributee,	30.00	
	17.	" " " " Sarah Ritchie "	24.06	
	18.	" " " " Melvin Hughes "	24.06	
		" 8 per cent com on \$465.82 disbursed	37.26	
		" this sum in Admr's hands to square	123.03	626.11

1862.	Sept 9 th	To and Principal Debits heretofore		\$123.03
	"	" Interest on Inventory " " as Pr.		78.01
	"	" other Interest " " \$37.56		
	"	" Interest on Pr two years 14.76		
		Total Principal Sept 9 th 1862.		\$201.08
	19.	By this sum paid Taxes for 1862 \$11.73 Dealed to	4.69	
	20	" " " retained by Auditor as Distributee	88.04	
	"	" 8 per cent. Com' on \$92.73 disbursed	7.41	
	"	" this sum unaccounted for to square	100.94	201.08
1866	Sept 9 th	To this sum of Principal unaccounted for Sept 9 th 1862.		100.94
	"	" " Interest thereon to Sept 9 th 1866 \$24.27		
	21.	By this sum paid Taxes for 1866, two tickets	4.62	
	22	" " " " H. J. Morgan Clerk's fee	2.30	
	"	" 8 per cent Com' on \$6.92 disbursed	.55	
	"	" this sum unaccounted for to square	73.47	
1867.	Sept 7 th	To this sum of Pr unaccounted for last year.		73.47
	"	" " Interest thereon one year \$5.60		
	"	" " amount of Sale Bill of Alpha Slump's		
		Property, interest of John Slump, due to 5/76.		692.56
		Total Principal assets Sept 7 th 1867.		\$786.03
	23.	By this sum paid Alpha Halum, Distributee.	17.00	
	24.	" " " " Wm V. C. Barron.	1.25	
	25.	" " " " John Reaser Distributee.	137.00	
	26.	" " " " Helmina Hughes "	85.25	
	27.	" " " " Taxes for 1867.	6.33	
	28.	" " " " Jonathan Richmond	7.24	
	29.	" " " " Harry C. Slump, Distributee.	17.00	
	30.	" " " " Campbell Slump. "	18.05	
	"	" 8 per cent Com' on \$287.12, disbursed.	23.12	
	"	" this sum unaccounted for to square.	473.79	786.03

1868.	Sept 7 th	To this sum of Pr unaccounted for last year.		\$473.79
	"	" " " Interest thereon one year \$28.42		
31.	By	" " paid C. C. J. Aston.	10.00	
32.	"	" " " Sarah Ritchie, Distributee.	88.00	
33.	"	" " " John C. Olinger, appraiser.	1.00	
34.	"	" " " Apr J Morgan	7.50	
35.	"	" " " Melvin Hughes, Distributee.	72.24	
36.	"	" " " David Hollaway	5.00	
37.	"	" " " Isam W Collier	1.75	
	"	" 8 per cent com on \$182.47, disbursed,	14.60	
	"	" this sum unaccounted for to square	276.70	

1869.	Sept 7 th	To this sum of Pr unaccounted for last year.		276.70
	"	" " " Interest thereon one year \$16.60		
38.	By this	" paid John Reesor. Distributee.	31.00	
39.	"	" " " James McIlhenny. "	18.50	
	"	" 8 per cent com on \$49.50, disbursed.	3.96	
	"	" this sum unaccounted for to square	223.24	276.70

1870.	Sept 7 th	To this sum of Pr unaccounted for to square		223.24
	"	" " " Interest thereon one year		13.39
	"	" " " accumulated Int. last forward.		127.16
		Total, Dr & Int Sept 7 th 1870.		\$363.79
		By 8 per cent com thereon	29.10	
40.	"	" this sum paid W. D. Pridemore, distributee.	17.00	
41.	"	" " " S. S. Slough under the will, of decedent, with Int. to Sept 7 th 1870.	203.18	
42.	"	" " " Same as distributee, with Interest to Sept 7 th 1870.	105.57	
43.	"	" " " Patrick Hagan. fee	10.00	
		Overpaid by Arthur to square	1.06	
			\$364.85	364.85

John H. Kemp Admr.
of John Kemp decd.
Statement of his acct.

£

April the 26 1861

Alpha Slump Dec In account with
A J Collier Lr To four credits and
service rendered to Alpha Slump

Virginia Lee County

in day of A J Collier personally
sworn before me a Justice of the Peace
for said County and sworn
oath that the above account
was sent to the best of Knowledge
given under my hand this the
26th day of February 1861

John Ricketts

Witness of John W. Slump the
claimant is that of John Ricketts
and Alpha Slump his agent
this 26th day of February 1861

A J Collier

Virginia

At Rules in the Probate of the
Circuit Court of Lee County on Monday
the 2nd day of June 1877

John. Reason

Plff.

vs

Def. chg.

Melanie Hughes, John H. Sloop, Admrs.

The object of this suit is to secure of the court
and Melanie Hughes, to answer paid by
the Plaintiff a security for her in her official
bond, as executrix of the will of Isaac Hughes
decd, and to subject to the payment thereof
the estate and the said Melanie from a
fine & judgment John H. Sloop which
has been attached for the purpose. And
it appearing from an affidavit filed in
the cause that the defendant Melanie Hughes
is a non resident of the State as the law
orders that she appear here within one
month after the publication of this order
and do what is necessary to protect her
interest in this suit.

2 Copy

Teste J. H. Orr Jr. Clk

I certify that I posted a copy of the above order at
the front door of Lee County Court house on the first
day of the Aug term 1877. of said Court. Given under
my hand Aug 1877. Lemuel Orr. Clerk

John R. R. R.

vs 3rd Pub.

William Hughes et al.

The Commonwealth of Virginia,

To the Sheriff of Lee County---Greeting:

We Command you to Summon

W. Smith

Richard H. Hays

To appear at the Clerk's office of the Circuit Court of Lee, at the Court-House, on the first Monday in

July

next, being rule day, to answer a bill in Chancery, exhibited in our said Court against

by

John Hays

And have then there this writ. Witness, JAMES W. ORR, Clerk of our said Court, at the Court-House, this

day of

March

1874; in the 103rd year of the Commonwealth.

J. W. Orr CLERK.

The paper of the suit having been opened in this cause,
 the record showing the entire process is ordered to attach
 the return of the defendant's petition, together with the
 hands of the co-defendants John H. Stump and the same
 in his hands to the end, so to remain and provide that
 the same may be forthcoming and liable to the future
 order of the court.

James H. Orr Clerk.

(B. 7. 5)

John H. Stump

John H. Stump

John H. Stump

John H. Stump

not executed for
 want of time

H. W. Stump

J. S. Stump

The Commonwealth of Virginia,

To the Sheriff of Lee County---Greeting:

We Command you to Summon

W. Slump

To appear at the Clerk's office of the Circuit Court of Lee, at the Court-House, on the first Monday in

July next, being rule day, to answer a bill in Chancery, exhibited in our said Court against

by

John R. Orr

And have then there this writ.

Witness, JAMES W. ORR, Clerk of our said Court, at the Court-House, this

day of

June 1877; in the 18th year of the Commonwealth.

J. W. Orr
CLERK.

Copy
J. W. Orr Jr. Secy.

The proper affidavit having been made in this cause, the
officer serving the within process, is ordered to attach the
estate of the defendant William W. Blump, and the same in his hands
so attached, so to secure and provide that the same, may
be forthcoming and liable to the future order of the Court.

Attest,

James W. Orr, Clerk.

Teste -

James W. Orr, Clerk.

The Commonwealth of Virginia,

To the Sheriff of Lee County—Greeting:

We Command you to Summon

*McChesna Hughes &
John W. Smith*

To appear at the Clerk's office of the Circuit Court of Lee, at the Court-House, on the first Monday in
Sept next, being rule day, to answer a bill in Chancery, exhibited in our said Court against

them.

by

John Reaser

And have then there this writ. Witness. JAMES W. ORR, Clerk of our said Court, at the Court-House, this
day of *July* 187*9*; in the *104* year of the Commonwealth.

James W. Orr

J. W. Orr Jr. &

CLERK.

The proper affidavit having been made in this case
the officer serving the within process is ordered
to attach the Estate of the defendant Helmina
Wiegler, in the hands of her Co-sheriffant John
H. Sney and the same in his hands so at-
tached, so to secure & provide that the same
may be so becoming & liable to the future or-
ders of the Court.

James W. Orr Clerk

~~James W. Orr~~

~~James W. Orr~~

James W. Orr

James W. Orr

James W. Orr

James W. Orr

James W. Orr

James W. Orr

James W. Orr

James W. Orr

James W. Orr

James W. Orr

James W. Orr

James W. Orr

VIRGINIA :—At rules held in the Clerk's office of the Circuit court of Lee county on Monday the 2nd day of June, 1879.

John Reasor,
against

Plaintiff.

Melvina Hughes and John W. Slemph, Defts.
IN CHANCERY.

The object of this suit is to recover of the defendants Melvina Hughes, the amount paid by the plaintiff as security for her, in her official bond as executrix of the will of Isaac Hughes dec'd., and to subject to the payment thereof the estate due the said Melvina from her co-defendant, John W. Slemph, which has been attached for the purpose, And it appearing from an affidavit filed in the cause, that, that the defendant Melvina Hughes, is a non-resident of this State. It is therefore ordered that she appear here within one month after due publication of this order and do what is necessary to protect her interest in this suit. A copy,

Teste :—R. W. ORR, jr., D. C.

June 6th, 4w, \$5.

runner went to Cincinnati to buy a reaper.

He Knew the Machine.

believe the rest of virtue.

which in another world we are taught to
that love, pure, constant, and ineffable
d to exhibit in this lower world a type
e, to remain unshaken and unchanged:
rsecutes, when brethren and disciples
n, when counselors betray, when justice
the neglected: when monarchs abandon

J. Charles Willoughby, pub^r of the Sentinel, a weekly news
paper printed at Jewell, Va. do hereby certify
that the copy order of which the annexed is a copy
was printed four successive weeks ending today
June 27th 1879, in said paper.
Charles Willoughby Pub^r.

John Reason
vs. $\frac{3}{3}$ Pub. Luth.
Melvina Hughes

former went to Cincinnati to buy a reader.

One day just before harvest, an Ohio

He Knew the Machine.

Heve the test of virtue.

rich in another world we are taught to

that love, pure, constant, and ineffable

d to exhibit in this lower world a type

e, to remain unshaken and unchanged:

rescues, when brethren and disciples

n, when co-counselors betray, when justice

the neglected; when monarchs abandon

J. Charles Willoughby, pub. of the Sentinel, a weekly news-
paper printed at Jonesville, Va. do hereby certify
that the chg. order of which the annex is a copy
was printed four successive weeks ending to day
June 27- 1879, in said paper.
Charles Willoughby Pub.